| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.                       | Applicant(s)              |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|   | 09/678,058                            | YEUNG, STEVE W.L.         |
|   | Examiner                              | Art Unit                  |
|   | Jimmy H. Nguyen                       | 2673                      |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application: <u>pending</u> |                           |
| (1) <u>Jimmy H. Nguyen</u> .  | (3)                                   |                           |
| (2) Eric Hyman (Applicants' rep.).  | (4)                                   |                           |
| Date of Interview: 21 December 2004   | Time: <u>14:40</u>                    |                           |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:  |                                       |                           |
| Part I.   |                                       |                           |
| Rejection(s) discussed:   |                                       |                           |
| Claims discussed:  2 and 4-13  Prior art documents discussed:   |                                       |                           |
| Part II.  |                                       |                           |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet  |                                       |                           |
| Part III.   |                                       |                           |
| <ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>  |                                       |                           |
|   | ·                                     |                           |
| The beautiful the state of the |                                       |                           |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/  | Applicant's Representative Signature  | gnature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative agreed to correct claims 2 and 4-13, so as to make these claims consistent with independent claim 1. Applicants' representative agreed to cancel figure 1 which was proposed in the papers filed on 8/21/2003 and disapproved by the examiner, and to change figure 2, which was proposed in the papers filed on 8/21/2003, to figure 1. Further, Applicants' representative agreed to amend the substitute specification submitted on 5/17/2004 to include the section, "BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS". The amendments to claims, specification and drawing are provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.

1

Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP (310) 207-3' Title: AN EFFICIENT LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DRIVING SCHEME USING ORTHOGONAL BLOCK - CIRCULANT MATRIX UTILITY
1st Named Inventor: Steve W.L. Yeung
Application No.: 09/678,058

Docket No.: 2582

Sheet: 2 of 2